



Topic

Volume II, Manual A

Facilitators/Note Taker

Belit Tasdemir
Chen Hong
Sebastian Mocarquer

Three Key Discussion Areas:

1. NAP description in Manual A
2. Guidance for the INSARAG external support and recognition of a NAP
3. Quality assurance of the IRNAP
4. Integration of national and international response

Three Recommendations/Feedback

1. There is a need to provide more tools for the implementation of the NAP, such as a NAP handbook, checklist for member states to apply to national teams and templates for implementation plans. How do you start from the different initial stages in a country?
2. The requirement to have a final exercise within the NAP must be clearly stated, as well as the need to observe such exercise in the recognition process. Consider mixing national and regional classifiers for the NAP.
3. Establish mechanisms and procedures for quality assurance as a crucial part of the INSARAG external recognition process (credibility).
4. The IRNAP process requires more details on subjects such as: financing the IRNAP process, TSG roster and requirements for members, if IEC teams can support the process, etc. A flowchart is required to further explain the whole process.
5. There is a need for more clarification regarding the differences of the international light teams and national light teams (e.g. coordination requirements).
6. Should the UCC, RDC concepts be part of the NAP to prepare for receiving international assistance?
7. Experience sharing among NAP and IRNAP processes should be encouraged.
8. NAP should be a prerequisite for international deployment. For UC consideration, teams that have undergone an IRNAP process should fall after IEC teams and before non IRNAP teams.