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INSARAG Steering Group

10 May 2022
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Geneva
Gentle reminder for online participants:

• Kindly note that your microphone is always muted.

• Kindly note that you the camera is also off.

• If you have any questions or comments, you can address them via the chat, which is monitored.
# AGENDA – Part 1: Opening, Technical and Operational Updates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Agenda Item</th>
<th>Speakers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Session 1</td>
<td>09:00 – 09:45</td>
<td>Opening Statements, Presentation of the Agenda and Global Updates</td>
<td>• Ambassador <strong>Manuel Bessler</strong>, INSARAG Global Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Mr. <strong>Ramesh Rajasingham</strong>, Director, OCHA Coordination Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Mr. <strong>Winston Chang</strong>, INSARAG Unit Head, Global Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Ms. <strong>Haruka Ezaki</strong>, Master of Ceremony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session 2</td>
<td>09:45 – 10:30</td>
<td>Regional Workplans 2022 - Progress Updates</td>
<td>• <strong>INSARAG Regional Chairs:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 minutes each and 15 minutes Q&amp;A</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Governor <strong>Yunus Sezer</strong> - Africa, Europe and Middle East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Min. <strong>Ramón Soto</strong> - the Americas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Mr. <strong>Yil Lee</strong> – Asia and the Pacific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Moderator: Ms. <strong>Stefania Trassari</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tea/Coffee Break</td>
<td>10:30 – 11:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# AGENDA – Part 1: Opening, Technical and Operational Updates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Agenda Item</th>
<th>Speakers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Session 3 | 11:00 – 12:30 5 minutes each | Technical Working Group Workplans 2022 - Progress Updates | INSARAG Working Group Co-Chairs/Chairs  
- Flexible Response: Mr. Paolo Vaccari, Mr. Paul Baxter  
- Transitional Guidelines Review: Martin Evers, Mr. David Lewis  
- IEC/R Review: Ms. Annika Coll, Mr. Dewey Perks  
- Information Management: Mr. Peter Wolff, Mr. Jeff Maunder  
- Light Team: Mr. Brad Commens, Mr. Rob Davis  
- Medical: Mr. Antony Macintyre  
- National Accreditation: Mr. Sebastian Mocarquer, Ms. Chen Hong  
- Training: Mr. Dewey Perks  
- Moderator: Mr. Clement Kalonga |

| Lunch Break | 12:30 – 14:00 |
## AGENDA – Part 2: IGM Outcomes and Issues for ISG's Decisions and Endorsement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Agenda Item</th>
<th>Speakers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Session 4</td>
<td>14:00 – 15:15</td>
<td>INSARAG Steering Group Decisions on Five Areas</td>
<td>• Ambassador <strong>Manuel Bessler</strong>&lt;br&gt;• <strong>Mr. Sebastian Rhodes Stampa</strong>&lt;br&gt;• <strong>Mr. Winston Chang</strong>&lt;br&gt;• Moderator: Mr. <strong>Lucien Jaggi</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session 5</td>
<td>15:15 – 15:30</td>
<td>Summary of Key Decisions and Closing Statements</td>
<td>• <strong>Mr. Sebastian Rhodes Stampa</strong>, Chief, Emergency Response Section and INSARAG Secretary&lt;br&gt;• Ambassador <strong>Manuel Bessler</strong>, INSARAG Global Chair&lt;br&gt;• Moderator: Ms. <strong>Ana Maria Rebaza Delgado</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of the Meeting and Coffee Break</td>
<td>15:30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART 1

Opening, Technical and Operational Updates
SESSION 1: Opening statements, Adoption of the Agenda and Global Updates
SESSION 1
Opening Statements

Ambassador Manuel Bessler
INSARAG Global Chair

Ramesh Rajasingham
Director, Coordination Division, OCHA

Winston Chang
INSARAG Unit Head, Global Lead

Haruka Ezaki
Regional Focal Point for Asia and the Pacific
Master of Ceremony
SESSION 1
Global Updates

01 INSARAG Snapshot 2021
02 2022 Global Work Plan Highlights
03 IEC/R and IRNAP - Quality Assurance and Updates
04 Outcomes of Team Leaders Meeting in Doha
1. INSARAG Snapshot 2021

- 2 IECs and 2 IERs: EMERCOM Far East Russia (FER), Swiss Rescue, @fire Germany (first Light IEC), Pompiers de l’urgence internationale (PUI).
- Response to the Haiti Earthquake.
- INSARAG’s 30-year commemoration and third INSARAG Global Meeting and endorsement of the Warsaw Declaration.
- Virtual Emergency Response Simulation Exercise (ERSIMEX) in place of the EREs.
- Joint Statement issued by the MWG and WHO EMTs on response in a pandemic.
- Development of the EU MODEX IER Guide.
- 26 virtual events, WGs meetings, AP regional webinar series, UC and ICMS trainings and EREs hosted by in Peru and China.
2. 2022 Global Work Plan Highlights

Three Regional Meetings:
- **AEME**, Turkey, 13-14 October
- **The Americas**, Venue, Dates - TBC
- **AP**, Republic of Korea, 11-13 October

Three Policy Meetings:
- **New Year Call** in Jan
- **HNPW- ISG** in May
- **INSARAG End of year** Call, Nov-Dec - TBC
2. 2022 Global Work Plan Highlights

Technical Meetings and Trainings:

• IEC/Rs: 5 IECs, 6 IERs (7 in the last quarter of 2022!)
  (In chronological order IECs: Tunisia, Turkey (GEA), United Kingdom (SARAID),
  Azerbaijan, Cyprus, Saudi Arabia, IERs: United States, New Zealand, Armenia, Japan,
  Estonia)

• EREs: Indonesia (hybrid), Turkey, Americas (hybrid – location TBC)

• UC ToT (and ICMS) Training in Iceland in September

• IEC/R Leadership Course in Jan 2023 in the Netherlands
3. IEC/R Quality Assurance and Updates – Current Situation

Backlog due to the pandemic

Predictions for future years indicate a strong upward trend that will become unmanageable in 2026

Current capacity - the network’s limited resources can conduct 12 IEC/Rs per year
3. IEC/R Quality Assurance and Updates - Management of IERs from 2022 onwards

Recommendations – Following the IEC/R WG Co-Chairs meeting in Geneva, April 2022:

1. Uphold the 2-year compulsory extension endorsed by the ISG in 2020

2. Prioritize backlog of IERs (20), and IECs.

3. TWG will organize an IEC/R Leadership Training in the Netherlands in Q1 2023.

4. WGs will address a revised system for IERs.
IRNAP - Quality Assurance and Updates

Upcoming Events:

- Chile IRNAP Recognition Process – June 2022
- Cyprus IRNAP – June 2022
- The Americas IRNAP Course for TSR/TRG – August 2022
- Singapore IRNAP – October 2022

TSG/TRG Regional Roster Status:

- Asia and the Pacific – 40 applications received
- Africa, Europe and Middle East – 15 applications received
- The Americas – call for application will be open soon
Outcomes of the Team Leaders Meeting in Doha

• 120 participants, 45 in person from over 20 countries and 82 connecting remotely

• “We achieved more in three days face-to-face than in 30 months online” - TL Participant
Outcomes of the Team Leaders Meeting in Doha

1. The INSARAG Recognized National Accreditation Process (IRNAP) Technical Guidance Note is recommended for endorsement at the ISG.
2. The USAR Coordination (UC) Manual V2.0 is recommended for endorsement at the ISG.
3. The Ongoing direction of the First Responders Program V2.0 is recommended for endorsement at the ISG.
4. The Light Team Quality Assurance WG will transition from a WG to a Reference Group, maintaining the same membership.
Outcomes of the Team Leaders Meeting in Doha

6. Flexible Response: The Global Position sub WG will present a progress update at the ISG.


8. The INSARAG Network will be consulted on a revised INSARAG External Reclassification (IER) system to tackle the exponential increase of IERs.
SESSION 2
Regional Workplans 2022
Progress Updates
Regional Workplan 2022 – Progress Update
Africa, Europe and Middle East

Governor Yunus Sezer
Head of the Disaster and Emergency Management Authority (AFAD), Turkey.
Regional Chair for the AEME Region

Presentation by Mr. Önder Bozkurt, Deputy President of AFAD
Regional Workplan 2022 – Progress Update

AEME Region

**Strategic Objective 1: Quality Standards**

- **Global events:**
  - ISG during the HNPW, 10 May, Geneva, Switzerland

- **Regional events:**
  - AEME Earthquake Response Exercise (ERE), 10-12 October, Turkey
  - Regional Meeting AEME, 13-14 October, Turkey
  - AEME IECs: Azerbaijan, Cyprus, Tunisia, UK SARAID, GEA (Turkey)
  - AEME IERs: Armenia, Czech Republic, Estonia, Saudi Arabia
  - ICMS: further dissemination of ICMS tool, by organizing workshops
  - USAR Coordination Training of Trainers, September, Iceland

- **INSARAG Membership:**
  - Egypt – IEC in 2023/24 (Mentorship)
  - Turkey (IHH) - Initial discussions
Regional Workplan 2022 – Progress Update

AEME Region

**Strategic Objective 2: Localization**
- First Responders Trainings, Somalia (TBC.) and Zimbabwe (Oct.).
- Implementation of Africa Outreach plans for capacity building.

**Strategic Objective 3: Flexible Response**
- South Africa SADC USAR Support Preparation and Flexible Response Partnership Support, March
- USAR SA team response to KwaZulu-Natal Floods (April)
- Participation in the global Flexible Response WG

**Strategic Objective 4: Partnerships**
- Regional partnership in Africa with Rescue SA on response and capacity development (ongoing)
- Strengthening of European partnership
  - through the integration of IER in the EU MODEX Cycles
  - European Commission and UN UCC Course, Italy, 17-22 October
Regional Workplans 2022 - Progress Updates

The Americas region

Min. Ramón Soto
Secretary of State in the Offices of Risk Management and National Contingencies
Regional Chair for the Americas Region
Regional Workplans 2022 - Progress Updates

The Americas region

**IRNAP**
- Panama process: *paused until legal framework is approved*
- Chile process:
  - TSG complete
  - TRG visit 2-6 junio
- Honduras, Argentina and Ecuador starting soon
- Contribution to NAWG:
  - Participation in Asia Pacific region online course
  - Contributions to update the IRNAP Guidance Note
- IRNAP course for TSG/TRG experts:
  - Complete and translated to English
  - Course planned for September

**IER**
- USA-1 team achieved its third IER in April, 2022
- CHI-1 team scheduled its IER in 2024
- COL-1 team scheduled its IER in 2025

**National USAR Coordination course**
- Designed in 2021 by Americas experts
- Courses to be held in Colombia (May) and Peru (June)

**ICMS dissemination**
- IMWG will continue supporting training activities through AST expert and Spanish speakers trained facilitators during the second semester
## Regional Workplans 2022 - Progress Updates

### The Americas region

### Flexible Response Regional Group
- First meeting held on April 21st
- Co-chairs: Argentina and Brazil

### Participation in FRWG
- Meeting in Qatar
- Limitations on participation due to time zones difference
- Position paper on Flexible Response

### Regional Humanitarian week in the Americas
- Buenos Aires, Argentina
- 7 – 14 November, 2022
- XXI INSARAG Regional Meeting & IX UNDAC Regional Consultation Meeting in the Americas (hybrid)
- Earthquake Response Exercise (ERE)
- IRNAP Argentina exercise

### Preparation of the III Humanitarian Assistance Simulation Exercise in Central America
- Event to be held on June, 2023 and preparation will start in 2022
Regional Workplans 2022 - Progress Updates

Asia and the Pacific region

Mr. Yil Lee
Director General of the 119 Rescue & EMS Bureau of the Korean National Fire Agency
Regional Chair for the Asia-Pacific Region
SESSION 2
Briefing on Regional Strategic Plans for 2022 – Asia & Pacific

Quality Standards

✓ Elaborating the INSARAG methodology through the active participation of the INSARAG External Classification (IEC) and Reclassification (IER) process, and cultivating experts to support the INSARAG Recognized National Accreditation Process (IRNAP).

Localisation

✓ Applying the INSARAG guidelines to preparedness and response to disasters that occur in a specific country or community, and, sharing improvements by reflecting regional characteristics such as its system and culture. Also supporting member states conducting first responders training program.

Flexible Response

✓ Strengthening a comprehensive emergency response by facilitating discussions for preemptive preparedness and response to new types of climate-related disasters that transcend conventional urban search and rescue (USAR) operations.

Partnerships

✓ Expanding new partnerships with disaster-related organizations within and between countries, and consolidating existing partnerships.
### Asia and Pacific Regional Workplans 2022

#### The Asia & Pacific region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/No</th>
<th>Key Event</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>IRNAP Technical Workshop</td>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>Online</td>
<td>Part of NAWG global initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>HNPW</td>
<td>9-27 May</td>
<td>Geneva/online</td>
<td>ISG to be scheduled in the 2nd week in Geneva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>IRNAP TSG/TRG Workshop</td>
<td>19-21 Jan</td>
<td>Online</td>
<td>Back-to-Back with UNDAC AP regional meeting (3 days total)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AP regional meeting</td>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>S. Korea</td>
<td>Back-to-Back with UNDAC AP regional meeting (3 days total)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AP regional ERE</td>
<td>Sept/Oct</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>BASARNAS 50th years Commemoration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Webinar on</td>
<td>TBC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Flexible response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Disaster response coordination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sri Lanka capacity building support</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>AP NAP Working group</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NZL IER</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Japan IER</td>
<td>8-11 Nov</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Singapore IRNAP</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TEA/COFFEE BREAK

Meeting will resume at 11:00
SESSION 3: Technical Working Group Workplans 2022 - Progress Updates
SESSION 3: Technical Working Group
Workplans 2022 – PART 1

1. Flexible Response Working Group
   Paolo Vaccari and Paul Baxter

2. Transitional Guidelines Review Group
   Martin Evers and David Lewis

3. IEC/R Working Group
   Annika Coll and Dewey Perks

4. Information Management Working Group
   Jeff Maunder and Peter Wolff
1. Flexible Response WG
SESSION 3: Technical Working Group Workplans 2022 - Progress Updates

Flexible Response WG Progress Update

Paolo Vaccari and Paul Baxter – Overall Chairs

1. Global Position
2. Culture and Heritage
3. Damage Assessment Coordination Centre
TABLE OF CONTENT

01 Global Position Co-Chairs
   Thomas Zeiter and Otty Rusinarsetyo

02 Culture and Heritage Co-Chairs
   Aparna Tandon and Jing Wang

03 Damage Assessment Co-Chairs
   Solveig Thorvaldsdottir and Joshua Macabuag
   (Now replaced by Mark Scorcer)
Co-Chairs - Thomas Zeiter and Otty Rusinarsetyo
Global Position
Principles Established – Strong support for progressing through members surveyed

Defining What Flexible Response Means
• Beyond the Rubble (BtR)
• Flexible Response (Flex-R)

Current “Modular Capacities” able to be deployed on a FlexR
• Management
• Search
• Rescue
• Medical
• Logistics

Additional Capacities that may exist within USAR teams already
• Wildfire
• Water/Flood Rescue
• CBRN, etc

Principles of self-sufficiency remain

Minimum Standards required
• Current Capacities through USAR classification process
• Additional Capacities, yet to be determined
Implementation
Current Thinking

• Endorsement from ISG when concept finalised
• **Stage One** – Registration of Modular Capabilities (VOSOCC)
  1. Each team opts in or out by registering the modular capacities they are prepared to deploy on a FlexR basis
  2. **Interim** additional capacities registration. Each team opts in or out by registering additional capacities they are prepared to deploy on a FlexR basis. This is to assist INSARAG Secretariat manage requests until additional capacities under INSARAG banner are formalised.
• **Stage Two** - Additional capacities INSARAG identifies and agrees to adopt are prioritised for further formal development (standards, guidelines, etc)
Issues still for resolving

Working Group Tasks

1. Working through EU issues of coordination and overlap
2. Any integration actions required for Damage Assessment and Cultural Heritage Flexible Response Working Groups
3. Further development of Description of Individual Capacities in Concept Paper
4. Global mapping exercise of additional capacities within teams (e.g., DACC, flood, water purification, etc)
5. Determination of prioritisation list for additional capacities if endorsed by INSARAG
   a) Identifying any existing standards/guidelines in existence for adoption/adaption
   b) Definition of the process of verification of respect of standard for the new capacities
Culture and Heritage

Co-Chairs Aparna Tandon and Jing Wang
Cultural Heritage
Progress Update (1)

The Sub—FRWG response to the Cultural Heritage has summarized over 80 items of feedback from different organizations and workgroups (e.g., FRWG/SWG-Cultural heritage/The DACC Working Group/DR tea, Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Japan/ Queensland Fire and Emergency Services of Australia etc.) to the existing Guideline of the Cultural Heritage and integrated a primary recommendation on the Manual.

The basic principle of the existing cultural heritage draft Guideline is endorsed by the group.

And the structural modification to the Guideline under the INSARAG Guide is the next step.
An updated version of the Guideline will be available by June 2022.
## Main Feedbacks and Recommendations:

| The Working Group endorses the direct and important social benefits that come from protecting cultural heritage during USAR operations. | The current draft (by UNESCO) of a field guide for USAR operations at heritage sites should be further developed and modified as per the feedback mainly for scenario 1 where USAR act under their full responsibility. | **Scenario 1**: Search and rescue at a heritage site  
**Scenario 2**: Beyond the rubble stage assistance  
**Scenario 3**: Flexible response assistance is sought for cultural heritage rescue |
Create a paragraph(s) that is relevant to **engineers** in the context of cultural heritage. INSARAG documents usually do not list authors.

**Extract** the information that is new for USAR teams in the context of cultural heritage. Optimize the use of **OSOCC or VOSOCC**, integrating the information of cultural heritage.

When working on heritage sites Beyond the Rubble, at the request of **LEMA** and jointly in close collaboration with relevant **national cultural heritage agencies**.
Damage Assessment

Co-Chairs: Solveig Thorvaldsdottir and Joshua Macabuag (now replaced by Mark Scorer)
Damage Assessment Coordination Centre
Progress Update (1)

- Change in Co-Chair from Josh Macabuag to Mark Scorer
- Currently working as two groups at the moment:
  - Engineering group
  - Coordination group
- The WG has met 14 times since September, either as a whole or in one of the groups.
- Discussions on the format of the DACC Handbook have suggested that the format of the new UC manual is a good candidate for a format for the DACC handbook. The UC manual provides a good overview of various activities that form a basis of discussion for the DACC.
Damage Assessment Coordination Centre
Progress Updates (2)

- **Coordination Group** is focussing on:
  - previous events and literature
  - coordination details for acquiring USAR team engineers to participate in the engineering damage assessment for the DACC
  - DACC staff and resources
    - The annexes in the UC manual will be used a template for outlining staff requirements, etc.
  - coordination of engineers to be most effective in assisting national engineers
  - understanding the important role of UNDAC/OSOCC in the context of setting up the DACC with the local municipalities.
  - outlining the role of the UCC in the damage assessment coordination
  - DACC support coordination with clusters
    - Shelter
    - Early recovery
  - creating a timeline for Engineer/DACC activities
Damage Assessment Coordination Centre
Progress Update (3)

- **Engineers Group** is focusing on
  - Coordination with national entities and procedures
  - Procedure for Technical On-Site Assessment of Damage
  - Some key decisions:
    - DACC guidance should be generic (not specific to earthquake, but applicable across flood, hurricane, blast, etc).
    - Any output should be as concise as possible, with minimal text (figure/diagrams preferred), and referring to existing docs where possible.

Still on track to complete project for HPNW!
2. Transitional Guidelines Review WG
# Transitional Guidelines Review Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>David Lewis co-chair</td>
<td>AUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin Evers co-chair</td>
<td>NED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony Toh</td>
<td>SIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patricio Fuentes</td>
<td>CHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belit Tasdemir</td>
<td>TUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ove Syslak</td>
<td>NOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretariat SCDF</td>
<td>SIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INSARAG Secretariat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SESSION 3
INSARAG Working Group Updates - Transitional Guidelines Review WG Progress Update

Terms of Reference (ISG endorsed)

- Support and advice stakeholders
- Encourage implementation, translation
- Report and update governing bodies (TLM, RM's, ISG)
- Clarify, support and moderate
- Identify issues for upcoming cycle and Guidance Notes
- Transition to GRG in 2023
- Modernize the appearance and administration
- Work alongside the WG's
- Keep updated administration
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Binding</th>
<th>Updated</th>
<th>Governance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Guidelines</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>5 yearly</td>
<td>ISG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guidance Notes</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>1 yearly</td>
<td>ISG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical References</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>Continuously</td>
<td>WG’s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Responsibilities

- WG’s responsible for the content of their work
- T-GRG only to safeguard:
  - Consistency
  - Terminology
  - Guideline, Guidance Note or Technical Reference
  - Proper administration

- Bilateral Response Guidance Note
Practical approach

- All Working Groups are assigned a Point of Contact from T-GRG
- T-GRG meets in plenum 2 times a year (TLM and ISG)
- T-GRG to gather feedback from teams
- GRG will consist of 3 representatives per INSARAG region
- 2023 T-GRG will develop to GRG
- 2025 GM new Guidelines to be endorsed and published
- Q4 2024 all content (from TLM and WG's) should be ready for processing
Transitional Guidelines Review Group

Thanks for your attention!

Open for questions
3. IEC/R WG
PPT OVERVIEW

1. Achievements of the IEC/R Review WG since creation
2. Proposals for revised IEC/R system
3. Revised IEC/R Review WG Terms of References
Achievements

1. IEC/R System Review WG Workplan
2. Reviewed regional expert roster
3. Revised guidance for nominating Classifiers (for Policy, Operational, and TL Focal Points)
IEC/R Review Report: Areas of Improvement Current Status

01. Ensure quality of Classifiers and of the process. ToR

02. Training for TLs, mentors, and INSARAG Secretariat Conduct awareness sessions in the margins of all meetings and activities for quality assurance systems (specifically targeting all three FPs – policy, operational and TL)

03. Color codes on the IEC/R Checklist Strong consideration to remove color coding during the review.

04. Collecting and disseminating best practices within the IEC/R process including a tab on the webpage for "best practices" based on topic and includes from which USAR Team / IEC report has an additional doc. that cites best practices.

05. Enhancing the preparation of Classifiers by the FPs & TL using Manual C prior to IEC/R (see point 01)

06. Guidance in INSARAG documents as they relate to: Pre-validation, exercise organizers, updating the report template with best practices, and inclusion of a code of conduct for the Classification team. (Mentor's job and their ToR needs to be revised)

07. Sustainability of the Classification system based on rising numbers (dealing with the revised IER system)

08. Develop a separate IER Checklist

09. Reinforce DEI awareness
Proposals for IEC/R System

PROPOSALS FOR ENSURING QUALITY IN THE IEC/R PROCESS

1. Increasing the responsibilities of the Mentor
   b. Broadening the membership role in the community (ex. Joint mentorships)- idea from EMT

2. Conduct awareness sessions in the margins of meetings (ie regional) for quality assurance systems (specifically targeting all three FPs – policy, operational and TL) man b Proposal to be accepted by ISG

3. T/GRG bringing Vol. 1 up-to-date (Ensure the current Code of Ethics covers all INSARAG activities)

4. Revise and update the Minimum standards found in Manual A and Clarify-checklist accordingly

5. Include a tab on the webpage for “best practices” based on topic and include from which USAR Team it comes. Could be clarified further accompanying it with graphic material if needed (to provide by team/classifiers)

6. Ensure Classifiers are being trained at the local (national/regional/local/Team) level (to be included in ToR to become a classifier-objective of the WG to update this and propose. Keep in training Classifiers, that could receive evaluation report from TL)

7. Leadership Course for TLs, mentors, and INSARAG Secretariat representatives. KEY COURSE
Proposed Options for Revised IER System

1. There is concern of a lack of classifiers for the number of IERs that will soon need to be scheduled. We need to do an analysis of where we are to plan efficiently for the future. If the gap as example is with Secretariat Representatives, we need to work to increase that pool.

2. Consider joint IERs

3. Strengthening the portfolio of evidence (POE) submissions and place more responsibility on the role of the Mentor to pre-validate (not pre-green) creating less work for the classifying team.

4. Annual self-evaluation of field exercises and how continuation of learning has been accomplished during the pandemic.

5. Open discussions on creation of a separate checklist for re-classifications to measure how best to increase the minimum standard and improve quality in the network.
Proposed Options for Revised IER System

6. Discuss the possibility Full Classification every 10 years / Re-classification every 5 years. Other option every 7-8 years. Secretariat is willing to manage a lot of IERs

7. Explore new options for Re-classification during upcoming IERs

8. Suggest an annual meeting with that years IEC/R Team Leaders to discuss how the checklist worked, and their ideas for improvement for the future

9. Create a virtual system to also collect the Team's comments to capture their impressions of how the system worked in their IEC/R
Revise IEC/R Review WG Terms of References

1. Change name to IEC/R WG
2. Decide if the IEC/R WG remains as a WG or transitions into a Sub-group of the TWG (holder of Vol. 2, Manual C) or other WG
3. Confirm composition of the WG: number of members to be decided by the WG and invitations for inclusion channeled through the Secretariat depending on need and focus, also refine relationship with other WGs.
4. Redefine the IEC/R Review WG objectives (see next slides)
IEC/R Review WG: Original Terms of Reference

The assignment for the IEC/R Review WG has the following 3 components:

1. The Secretariat will share a database and CVs of the pool of classifiers with the project team who will review the candidates against the ToRs for Classifiers established in the INSARAG Guidelines Vol II, Manual C and recommend a new list of suitably qualified classifiers. A list of potential IEC/R Team Leaders, and Mentors and Secretariat Representatives will also be recommended.

2. The project team will study and propose a system for INSARAG teams who have accepted the offer of extension of their classification status, to be implemented in 2021. This will ensure that teams justify their operational readiness in the extension period.

3. The project team will review the recommendations from the IEC/R System Report and propose a road map on the way forward to raise the IEC/R quality and processes.
1. **Update ToR for Classifiers**, specify all roles, in the guidelines (i.e., All IEC/R TL/DTL should have the experience as a Mentor/Classifier/Excon)

2. Define who can do what during a heavy, medium, and light IEC: Medium teams classifying light etc...*

3. Analyze and study a **possible revised IER** System to accommodate rising numbers

4. Analysis of existing Classifiers to identify gaps (TFP must certify nominations)

5. Support the Leadership Courses in 2023 and beyond to boost the number/quality of Classified Team Leaders, Mentors, and Secretariat Representatives

6. Coordination with other WG in IEC/R related subjects
4. Information Management WG
QATAR 2022 Outcomes

- ICMS: Teams are comfortable with the system
- Pre ERE training sessions universally deemed of value
- ASR2 – Identified issue with tracking the progress – Solutions in play
- Engaged partners to deliver ICMS training to non English speaking Teams (Spanish + Portuguese)
Key focus Area

- Strategy development (3-5 years)
- 12 Month work plans
- ICMS
- UAS (Drones)
- Working Groups
Q&A
SESSION 3: Technical Working Group
Workplans 2022 – PART 2

5. Light Team Quality Assurance Reference Group
   Brad Commens

6. Medical Working Group
   Anthony Macintyre

7. National Accreditation Working Group
   Sebastian Mocarquer and Cheng Hong

8. Training Working Group
   Dewey Perks
5. Light Team Quality Assurance Reference Group
LTQARG Members:
Brad Commens (AUS), Rob Davis (GBR), Christophe Debray (FRA), Johannes Gust (GER), Cem Behar (TUR).

History

- 2016 - LTWG was established to investigate the opportunity for Light USAR teams to be Classified under the same guidelines as Heavy and Medium teams.
- 2017 - Concept paper, Quality Assurance, Team Development Framework, and linkages to National (NAP) and International (IEC) principles presented to Regional meetings, TL meetings and ISG. Transitioned to LTQAWG.
- 2018 – Position Paper and Light IEC Teams Integration concept presented at regional meetings. Light USAR IEC Checklist developed and consulted with Team Leaders, and approved by TL’s in Bucharest.
- 2019 – Light USAR IEC format and checklist approved by ISG. First Light USAR Team IEC planned for 2019, delayed due to country approvals.
- 2019 – Programmed the first Light USAR IEC for June 2020 - @fire German NGO. Delayed due to COVID pandemic.
Light Team Quality Assurance Reference Group

LTQARG Update – Brad Commens Co-Chair

Outcomes

- 2021 – First Light USAR IEC completed at INSIEME 2021 from 21-24 November
- Review of @fire IEC conducted on 20 December 2021 by LTQAWG
- 2022 - Report on the review of the @fire IEC provided to INSARAG Secretariat on 28 January 2022.
- LTQAWG meetings 7 & 8 March 2022. Group transition to LTQARG (Reference Group) approved at the TL Meeting in Qatar. Reference group members are available to assist evolving Light teams and to provide guidance and clarification top to IEC Classifiers, Mentors and teams.

Planned future activities

- August 2022 – Light USAR IEC – GEA - Turkey
- September 2022 - Light USAR IEC – SARAID – United Kingdom
- December 2022 – Light USAR IEC – Cyprus
Medical Working Group update

Anthony Macintyre, MD
10\textsuperscript{th} May 2022
MWG Participants

Membership:
Australia – Hector Fuentes
Chile – Vincenzo Borgna
China – Peng Bibo
Japan – Yasushi Nakajima
Netherlands – Thomas Eckhardt
UK ISAR – Malcolm Russell
UK SARAID – Will Selley
USA – Anthony Macintyre (Chair)
WHO – Rudi Coninx - **Goodbye!**
WHO – Kevin Ousman – **Hello!**
Technical Expert – Trevor Glass (Co-Chair)
INSARAG and WHO EMT
Joint Statement

Key Points:
• At the time of mobilization, teams should research and ensure adherence to the entry requirements of disaster impacted countries they are deploying to assist.
• All field response personnel considered for potential international response are encouraged to obtain COVID-19 vaccination as soon as it becomes available to them through the prioritization schemes in their host country and/or sponsoring organization. As per standard procedures, all vaccination records should be available for presentation when requested.
• Teams must ensure that protocols to manage possible exposure are in place and all team members have trained on these prior to deployment.
COVID-19 Deployment Technical Reference Note

Document is intended to provide updated information to USAR teams seeking to enhance their field operations in the SARS CoV2 virus (COVID-19) environment.

In order to remain mission-ready, teams need to strike the balance, in a rapidly evolving environment, that enables them to achieve the two primary goals of:

- Protecting their workforce
- Maintaining operational capability and respond as required
Permission to Practice
Request for authority to practice USAR Medicine

- **Right to clinical practice cannot be assumed**
- USAR Teams should seek *temporary permission* to provide clinical care
- Aligns with WHO EMT requirements
- Delivery of emergency medical care to patients while they are still entrapped in collapsed structures is *an essential humanitarian activity*
- MWG has produced a Generic Letter to assist teams

[USAR TEAM NAME] requests clear acceptance to practice USAR medicine while responding to the impacts of [INCIDENT NAME] in [AFFECTED COUNTRY NAME].

USAR teams have, as an essential function, medical components that are integral to the overall team mission. To be fully successful in contributing to the team mission, the USAR medical component must be capable of practicing medicine, within the scope of USAR activities, in the impacted country.

Delivery of emergency medical care to patients while they are still entrapped in collapsed structures is an essential humanitarian activity as disentanglement and rescue can take many hours. The rescue process itself can pose a risk to patients and medical intervention is required to prevent adverse impacts during and after the rescue process. In addition, assisting with the medical evacuation of patients to more definitive levels of care is anticipated in this mission.

[USAR TEAM NAME] from [DONOR COUNTRY NAME] is capable of providing the following:

- Care of individuals entrapped in the rubble
- Medical treatment of victims encountered in the field during search and rescue operations and assistance with transportation to medical facilities
- Care of USAR team members in the often austere field environment (to include the team search dogs)
- Other tasks as indicated and requested by the LEMA. These tasks are often referred to as “beyond the rubble” and are to be distinguished from the role of Emergency Medical Teams (EMTs).

In seeking the ability to practice USAR medicine, [DONOR COUNTRY NAME] is requesting [AFFECTED COUNTRY NAME] provide temporary permission from the Ministry of Health or other relevant authority to practice USAR medicine for the duration of the deployment.

Full medical licensure documents can be provided prior to deployment of the medical individuals who will be deploying as part of the USAR team.

If [AFFECTED COUNTRY] agrees to the above, please indicate level of acceptance through the most appropriate means (e.g. email, formal letter of reciprocity provided, etc.).
MWG Workplan
Current and ongoing

- Monitoring of Covid-19 scientific evidence and potential for additional guidance - Ongoing
  - Covid-19 landscape continues to evolve (new variant emergence) and pose new challenges
  - Potential for new risk mitigation measures
  - How to co-exist with the virus
- Collaboration with WHO EMT Strategic Group - Ongoing
  - MWG contributing to the drafting of the pre-hospital section of the EMT Spinal Cord Injury Guidelines
  - Operational coordination in the field
- Flexible WG assistance – Ongoing
- Review of select Technical Reference notes – Ongoing
- IEC/IER guidance and GRG (2023!) - Ongoing
MWG Support to TL
How can we help you?

- Are there any medical challenges you facing that the MWG can assist with?
  - Send any requests/queries to insarag@un.org

MWG Next Meeting
Tentative: November 2022
Question to the INSARAG Community
How do we continue to recruit?
Medical Working Group (MWG)
USAR Team Leaders Update – 2022

Membership:
Australia – Hector Fuentes
Chile – Vincenzo Borgna
China – Peng Bibo
France – Magali Jeanteur
Japan – Yasushi Nakajima
Netherlands – Thomas Eckhardt
UK ISAR – Malcolm Russell
UK SARAID – Will Selley
USA – Anthony Macintyre (Chair)
WHO – Rudi Connix
Technical Expert – Trevor Glass
Key Points:

• At the time of mobilization, teams should research and ensure adherence to the entry requirements of disaster impacted countries they are deploying to assist.

• All field response personnel considered for potential international response are encouraged to obtain COVID-19 vaccination as soon as it becomes available to them through the prioritization schemes in their host country and/or sponsoring organization. As per standard procedures, all vaccination records should be available for presentation when requested.

• Teams must ensure that protocols to manage possible exposure are in place and all team members have trained on these prior to deployment.
Permission to Practice
Request for authority to practice USAR Medicine

• Right to clinical practice cannot be assumed
• USAR Teams should seek temporary permission to provide clinical care
• Aligns with WHO EMT requirements
• Delivery of emergency medical care to patients while they are still entrapped in collapsed structures is an essential humanitarian activity
• MWG has produced a Generic Letter to assist teams

[USR TEAM NAME] requests clear acceptance to practice USAR medicine while responding to the impacts of [INCIDENT NAME] in [AFFECTED COUNTRY NAME].

USAR teams have, as an essential function, medical components that are integral to the overall team mission. To be fully successful in contributing to the team mission, the USAR medical component must be capable of practicing medicine, within the scope of USAR activities, in the impacted country.

Delivery of emergency medical care to patients while they are still entrapped in collapsed structures is an essential humanitarian activity as disentanglement and rescue can take many hours. The rescue process itself can pose a risk to patients and medical intervention is required to prevent adverse impacts during and after the rescue process. In addition, assisting with the medical evacuation of patients to more definitive levels of care is anticipated in this mission.

[USR TEAM NAME] from [DONOR COUNTRY NAME] is capable of providing the following:

- Care of individuals entrapped in the rubble
- Medical treatment of victims encountered in the field during search and rescue operations and assistance with transportation to medical facilities
- Care of USAR team members in the often austere field environment (to include the team search dogs)
- Other tasks as indicated and requested by the EMA. These tasks are often referred to as “beyond the rubble” and are to be distinguished from the role of Emergency Medical Teams (EMTs).

In seeking the ability to practice USAR medicine, [DONOR COUNTRY NAME] is requesting [AFFECTED COUNTRY NAME] provide temporary permission from the Ministry of Health or other relevant authority to practice USAR medicine for the duration of the deployment.

Full medical licensure documents can be provided prior to deployment of the medical individuals who will be deploying as part of the USAR team.

If [AFFECTED COUNTRY] agrees to the above, please indicate level of acceptance through the most appropriate means (e.g. email, formal letter of reciprocity provided, etc.).
COVID-19 Deployment Technical Reference Note

• Document is intended to provide updated information to USAR teams seeking to enhance their field operations in the SARS CoV2 virus (COVID-19) environment.

• In order to remain mission-ready, teams need to strike the balance, in a rapidly evolving environment, that enables them to achieve the two primary goals of:
  • Protecting their workforce
  • Maintaining operational capability and respond as required
MWG Workplan
Current & Ongoing

• Monitoring of Covid-19 scientific evidence - Ongoing
  • Covid-19 landscape continues to evolve (new variant emergence) and pose new challenges
  • MWG members are directly engaged in prevention of transmission and clinical management Covid-19
  • Emerging scientific evidence will be incorporated into MWG technical reference notes as required

• Review of potential additional Covid-19 risk mitigation measures - Current
  • In addition to the established risk mitigation measures, e.g., physical distancing, hand hygiene, wearing of masks, regular health checks, explore additional measures that could potentially be employed to increase surveillance, e.g.:
    • Rapid Antigen Testing (RAT)

• EMT Spinal Cord Injury Guidelines - Current
  • MWG contributing to the drafting of the pre-hospital section of the EMT Spinal Cord Injury Guidelines

https://www.who.int/news/item/28-11-2021-update-on-omicron
MWG Support to TL
How can we help you?

- Are there any medical challenges you facing that the MWG can assist with?
  - Send any requests/queries to insarag@un.org

MWG Next Meeting
HPNW 2022

- MWG plan to meet in-person during the HNPW in Geneva in May 2022
7. National Accreditation WG
National Accreditation Working Group (NAWG)

Introduction

- Main purpose of the NAWG:
  - Support the implementation of the IRNAP concept
  - Provide guidance to regional groups and member states
  - Promoting the exchange of experiences
  - Established in August 2020, after ISG endorsement.
  - Composed by representatives from 15 member states across the three regions.
  - Initial term of 2 years.

Co-Chair: Ms. Chen Hong (China)
Co-Chair: Mr. Sebastian Mocanuer (Chile)
INSARAG Rep.: Ms. Haruka Ezaki/ Ms. A.M. Rebaza

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AEME</th>
<th>Americas</th>
<th>Asia Pacific</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Azerbaijan</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Rashad Gasiuzada</td>
<td>Mr. Martin Gomez Lissarrague</td>
<td>Ms. Chen Hong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Christophe Debray</td>
<td>Mr. Sebastian Mocanuer</td>
<td>Mr. Wahyudi I. Putra Yudi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Peter Gokhanaj</td>
<td>Ms. Diana Patricia Corrales</td>
<td>Dr. Muhammad Farhan Khalid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonel Hicham Choukri</td>
<td>Mr. Luis Arturo Garola Pulido</td>
<td>Mr. Lok Wee Keong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Tahsin Berkant Ataay</td>
<td>Mr. Joe Kaleda</td>
<td>Mr. Jaecheol Sim</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Member states with intention of going through the IRNAP in the next years

Source: NAWG global survey (2020)
National Accreditation Working Group (NAWG)

Progress update

01 IRNAP Guidance Note
- Updated guidance note proposed for endorsement
- Consultation process

02 IRNAP Checklist and related documents
- Updated IRNAP checklist proposed for endorsement
- Other related documents pending

03 IRNAP Training
- Virtual training developed by NAWG (8 hours)
- TSG/TRG roster training developed by the Americas (5 days)

04 Remote Support to Regions
- Support to Americas and Asia Pacific regions on methodological issues and standards interpretation
### National Accreditation Working Group (NAWG)

#### Way forward

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training</th>
<th>Guidelines review</th>
<th>Regional support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| - Review training course for TSG/TRG, developed by the Americas, and facilitate global delivery  
- Adapt Virtual IRNAP training into an 4-hour workshop aimed at policy makers, national authorities and future TSG/TRG | - Update IRNAP Guidance Note in light of experience and application of the methodology globally  
- Contribute to the update and streamlining of Manual A: Capacity Building  
- Review and develop supporting documentation | - Continue support to regions on methodological issues and interpretation on guidelines  
- Monitor IRNAP implementation globally for coherence |

An extension of the NAWG term for an additional two years to continue the support of the global implementation of the IRNAP is kindly requested for endorsement.
8. Training WG
SESSION 3: WG Workplans 2022 – Training WG

Training WG – Progress Update

Core Members:
Kira Chebakova – RUS
Jacob Bolwinkel – DEN
Peter Goxharaj – GER
Tsukas Katsube – JPN
Joshua “JT” Turner – AUS
Dewey Perks – USA
Lucien Jaggi – ERS Secretariat

Associate Experts:
Arjan Stam – Form TL Rep
Paul Burns – TL Rep
Robert Norman – IMWG
Trevor Glass – MWG
John Denny – IEC/R Secretariat Rep
John Cawcutt – IEC/R Secretariat Rep

Many Thanks to the Sponsors
TWG REPORT - Updates of 2020

Progress

Monthly Virtual Meetings
WG Cross Pollination
Participation on other WGs

Challenges –

Time Management
Lack of Travel

Current Workload:

- UC Training
- UC Manual Revision
- IEC-IER Exercise Design Handbook
- ERE Review
- Leadership Training
- EU - INSARAG Relationship
- Classifier Review Project
- Web-Based Training
- National Coordination Training
- 1st Responder Course Review
Key Focus for 2022 - 2023

- UC Manual Revision
- UC Training Revision
- IEC-IER Exercise Design Handbook
- ERE Review
- Leadership Training
- Web-Based Training
- National Coordination Training
- 1st Responder Course Review
LUNCH BREAK

Meeting will resume at 14:00
PART 2

Issues for ISG’s Decisions and Endorsement
SESSION 4: INSARAG Steering Group
Decisions on Key Items
SESSION 4: INSARAG Steering Group Decisions on Key Items

Progress Update
Endorsement

Strategic Objective 1: Quality Standards
- Improvements of the Guidelines on Bilateral Response
- UC Manual 2.0

Strategic Objective 2: Localization
- First Responders Program 2.0
- National Accreditation Process 2.0

Strategic Objective 3: Flexible Response
- Flexible Response Global Position

Strategic Objective 4: Partnerships

INSARAG Strategic Plan 2021-2026
SESSION 4: INSARAG Steering Group Decisions on Key Items – Flexible Response Global Position

Progress Update

Mr. Paul Baxter
Mr. Paolo Vaccari

Co-Chairs, Flexible Response Working Group
SESSION 4: INSARAG Steering Group Decisions on Key Items – Improvements of the Guidelines on Bilateral Response

Progress Update

Mr. Martin Evers and David Lewis
T-GRG Lead
First Responder Training

Building resilience - where it is needed most
SESSON 4

As local as possible – resilience building!

Grass Root Level…. The new approach, more local is hardly possible! We have to consider that the int'l. USAR teams deploy rarely, but also only for bigger quakes.... What about those hundreds of local “small” quakes? Building local resilience will save much more lives in the future...

It is proven fact that local First Responders save more lives than int'l. USAR teams. Enhancing the performance of the Community Responders is important as in many countries the amount of professional First Responders is limited. Additionally, many villages and small cities are in remote areas and difficult to reach...
SESSION 4

For the first time ever "as local as possible"

The FRT 2.0 will reach grass root level world wide. Customized for citizens, villagers, persons who never thought that they can be relief…

The new training course is designed to enable the participants to do basic but effective search and rescue work with local tools within 3.5 days!
The final time schedule for implementation:

- **Pre-endorsement by ISG May 22**
- **June 22**
  - Field test Georgia
- **August 22**
  - Field test Iraq
- **September 22**
  - Field test In Asia
- **Autumn 22**
  - Presenting the final version at RG meetings
- **Winter 22**
  - Final review by TWG
- **MAY 2023**
  - Endorsement by ISG at HPW
SESSION 4: INSARAG Steering Group Decisions on Key Items – National Accreditation Process V2.0 Endorsement

Mr. Sebastian Mocarquer
National Accreditation WG Co-Chair
IRNAP Guidance Note v2.0

Introduction

2007
- INSARAG Guidelines include Chapter G on USAR Capacity Building

2011
- INSARAG Guidelines include section G3.5 on national USAR

2015
- INSARAG Guidelines include Manual A: Capacity Building, with NAP concept

2017
- France and Turkey undergo IRNAP

2020
- INSARAG Guidelines include IRNAP concept and the NAWG is established

2010
- Haiti earthquake after action report recommends chapter G review

2014
- Americas Region develops the national accreditation (NAP)

2017
- Americas Region develops the IRNAP guidance note and endorsed by ISG

2018-2019
- Colombia and Guatemala undergo IRNAP
- IRNAP Guidance Note is updated

2022
- 4 member states in the IRNAP process and training starts to be delivered
Member states that plan to go through an IRNAP in 2022:
Chile, Cyprus, Panama, Singapore, Argentina, Ecuador and Honduras.
IRNAP Guidance Note v2.0
Main updates to Guidance Note

• Guidance added to the NAP and IRNAP description
• Updated TOR for TSG/TRG roster
• Updated training requirements for members of TSG/TRG roster
• Funding: TSG to be funded by requesting country/TRG to be funded by member states in the network that provide experts (including their training)
• Expanded explanation of adoption of INSARAG methodology at a national level
• Clarification of commitment and verification criteria's
• Updated all figures across the document and format update
IRNAP Guidance Note v2.0
Main changes to Checklist

• Section 1 was updated to allow a global application of the checklist by accounting for diversity of political, legal and administrative structures within member states.

• Updated 2019 IRNAP Checklist to reflect minimum standards for USAR operations according to IEC/R Checklist 2020.
IRNAP Guidance Note v2.0
Extensive Consultation Process

- IRNAP Session at the 2022 Team Leaders Meeting (Qatar).
- Distribution of first draft for network consultation on March 15th.
  - IRNAP Technical Guidance Note v2.0
  - IRNAP Checklist v2.0
  - Change record for reference
- Three virtual workshops to gather feedback:
  - Regional Workshop AEME, April 4th
  - Regional Workshop Americas, April 5th
  - Regional Workshop AP, April 6th
- Final draft for network consultation distributed on April 20th.

- Overwhelming support to the IRNAP Guidance Note update
- The NAWG appreciates and thanks all who actively engaged with feedback
IRNAP Guidance Note v2.0
Future work

• As more member states go through the IRNAP process, the need to update the Guidance Note can be anticipated

• Areas already identified for future work:
  • Planning guidance for the support and recognition stages
  • Develop guidance to identify the baseline status of member states (design, advanced and consolidated levels)
  • Guidance for TSG/TRG composition, including profile for member selection
  • Add guidance for specific roles and responsibilities for TSG/TRG members in the form of a checklist
  • Add flowchart of the IRNAP process to provide a general overview of the process
SESSION 4: INSARAG Steering Group Decisions on Key Items – UC Manual V2.0

Endorsement

USAR Coordination Manual Revision

Sub-Working Group composition:
• Training Working Group
• Information Management Working Group
• UNDAC members

Aims:
• To update the UC Handbook in line with the ICMS
• To review the UC Handbook
• To remove discrepancies between UC Manual, INSARAG Guidelines 2020, UNDAC and EMT documents
## SESSION 4: INSARAG Steering Group Decisions on Key Items – UC Manual V2.0

### Endorsement

#### UC Handbook Draft Revisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Draft #</th>
<th>When</th>
<th>Revision by whom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Draft 1.0</td>
<td>15 Jul – 15 Aug 2021</td>
<td>INSARAG Working Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft 2.0</td>
<td>15 Sep – 15 Oct 2021</td>
<td>INSARAG USAR Teams &amp; Secretariat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft 3.0</td>
<td>4 Feb 2022</td>
<td>INSARAG Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft 4.0</td>
<td>TL Meeting March 2022</td>
<td>Participants (in-place and remote)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Endorsement

- No changes to the methodology
- Structure of the document changed to
  - include updated information
  - improve the flow of the content.
- UC Handbook Annexes are part of the document
  - Not links to www.insarag.org.
  - New Annexes to help information collection, planning and coordination.
- Forms in www.insarag.org revised/converted to EXCEL and PDF format
  - 3 forms changed
  - 2 new forms made
  - No changes to forms used for ICMS
  - No JPG files
- Information Displays in www.insarag.org revised/converted into Word docs.
  - No JPG files
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### SESSION 4: INSARAG Steering Group Decisions on Key Items – UC Manual V2.0

**Endorsement**

**Updated Forms in Volume III – Operational Field Guide**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annex B6 “RDC Briefing Handout Form”</th>
<th>UPDATED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annex B9 “OSOCC Planning Guide”</td>
<td>“UCC Team Assignment Status”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annex B11 “OSOCC-LEMA Initial Briefing”</td>
<td>“UCC-LEMA Meeting Form”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SESSION 4: INSARAG Steering Group Decisions on Key Items – UC Manual V2.0 Endorsement

New Forms in Volume III – Operational Field Guide

RDC-Entry Point Authority Meeting Form

UCC Teams’ Assignment Plan
How ICMS was added

• The Handbook is written so that you learn the coordination system regardless of tools – first learn what to do and then look at options on how.

• You learn about the system (sections 2-4), then you can apply software (5)

• ICMS in intentionally only addressed in one section so you don't need to look all over the Handbook to find it.

• Making updates on ICMS information is easy, only have to change section 5.
SESSION 4: INSARAG Steering Group Decisions on Key Items – UC Manual V2.0

Endorsement

Training-of-Trainers Course

• For the AEME region
• 19th – 23rd September 2022
• Iceland, town of Selfoss
• Registration information sent out soon
SESSION 5: Summary of Key Decisions and Closing Statements
Draft Outcomes of the ISG 2022:

1. The ISG welcomes the HNPW 2022 Hybrid format and encourages in-person events where this is possible with a remote option, for participants, considering the host country’s Covid-19 arrangements.

2. All 3 INSARAG Regional Workplans in 2022 are **Endorsed**.

3. The **INSARAG Recognized National Accreditation Process (IRNAP) Technical Guidance Note** is **Endorsed**.

4. The **USAR Coordination (UC) Manual V2.0** is **Endorsed**.

5. The **First Responders Program V2.0 process** and its development for consultations at the Regional and WG meetings in 2022 is **Endorsed**.
Draft Outcomes of the ISG 2022:

6. All 8 Working Groups Workplans in 2022 are **Endorsed** and:
   a) The **Light Team Quality Assurance WG** will transition from a WG to a Reference Group, maintaining the same membership

   b) The **ICMS** will be supported until 2024 and incorporated into the UC Training.

   c) On **Flexible Response**: The **Global Position** sub WG will continue to consult widely with the network and at the Regional Meetings in 2022 and present their recommendations afterwards.

   d) The **Transitional Guidelines Review Group** will continue to consult widely with the network and at the Regional Meetings in 2022 and present a revised draft Guidance Note on Bilateral Response and propose plans for the next GRG to start in 2023-2025.

   e) The **INSARAG Network** will be consulted on a **revised INSARAG External Reclassification (IER) system** to tackle the exponential increase of IERs.
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Closing Statements
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